archive today
Search this site
Search
Sites
Submit
Mirror
Tor
Tools
Donate
English
FAQ
API
Blog
Twitter
Mastodon
Bluesky
IRC
Jabber
Email
Terms
Privacy
Contact
DMCA
Abuse
Status
24 h 00 min 00 sec UTC+0
Sign in
Sign up
Save this page
Save this page to archive
Save this page to archive
Save this page to archive

DN **Dominic Napolitano**
1d ago
More of the same.
Reply · 1

PH **Pat Heaberg**
1d ago
You know this how ? We don't even know if the existing regime will be in place in a year or if the 86 Yr. old head of Iran will be alive . How are they going to enrich the uranium from 60% to 90% with the enrichment facilities to do so.
Reply · 1

TM **Thomas Mackay**
1d ago
Patrick K is a bot. He sticks to his playbook:
-Read every article eluding to Trump
-Cry about MAGA in the comment section
-Rinse, repeat

There's never anything of substance, just typical liberal emotion.
Reply · 3
2 replies

Show 1 more reply

WS **william sheehan**
1d ago
Why would Iran move its uranium or centrifuges. Did they know in advance that Israel would attack and the US would drop bombs and missiles. Why would they hide uranium in a site other than the ones they use for processing. And why do so many letters seem to want this to be a failure.
Reply · 2

PP **Paulius Puzinauskas**
1d ago
It was announced a week prior that the bombing plan was in place, but Trump wasn't sure if he would use it. All the speculation of the plan (at least what I read here in WSJ) pretty accurately described what was actually done. So, if the Ayatollah reads the WSJ, he knew what was coming and could implement a plan to minimize its impact. Unfortunately, the dude apparently doesn't carry a pager.
Reply

ET **Edward Troup**
1d ago
Destroying infrastructure and destroying a program are two different things. The US plans for continuity of operations for it's military programs. Why wouldn't Iran? There's always been a lot of buzz about attacking Iran's sites, so why wouldn't they put together a plan to counter it? Yes, there's going to be delays, but if they're committed to their program, then it will have survived in a downgraded mode. How downgraded is the question.
Reply

DF **Don Foberg**
12h ago
Because all that processed Uranium as for peaceful purposes😉😉
Reply
1 reply

RB **roy biger**
1d ago
To answer your last question:
The wish for a mission failure from some lies in a deep resentment and dislike of President Trump. This element cannot bring itself to acknowledge any successes by the President.
Reply · 9

JR **Jason R**
1d ago
I was visiting my parents and they had CNN on last night and Anderson Cooper was going on about how the attack failed and Trump lied etc. etc. I went out for a walk and came back 30 minutes later and he was still going at it saying the same stuff, like I had never left.

The thing is I don't actually think Cooper was necessarily wrong in what he was saying - I'm sure they were making perfectly good points. But what becomes obvious as you watch these broadcasts is that this isn't just some reporting of the news - they have a very defined agenda and that agenda animates everything they report.

There's a word for that kind of broadcast: propaganda. And no, propaganda is not synonymous with lies. Indeed, the best propaganda is true. But it's selective and it's motivated and in the end, it's inherently manipulative.

If it turns out the attack was a complete success (as this article suggests) you might see a 5 minute mention of it but trust me CNN won't be devoting more than the bare minimum to it, if anything. They'll have already moved on to their next breaking story about Trump.
(Edited)
Reply · 1
2 replies

MA **Michael Ard**
1d ago
The assessments are closer to what the administration said publicly in the first place.
Reply · 4

DB **David D BRINSON**
1d ago
How long before North Korea or Russia hands off nukes to Iran? Trump's victory lap and Vance's "Trump Doctrine" stroke job ignore this question.
Reply · 1

KR **Keith roberts**
1d ago
Neither Russia , North Korea, or China are about to hand over nuclear weapons to Iran. Why would they?
Reply · 2
1 reply

RL **Roy L**
1d ago
"President Trump directed the most complex and secretive military operation in history," Sec Hegseth proclaimed.

If one believes that the world started on 20 January 2025.
Reply · 4

AS **Albert Sabes**
1d ago
Hegseth does not know history. D Day involved deception of 160,000 solders from several countries off the cost of Europe waiting for orders to invade by sea, air, and land at the same time in Nazi Europe.
Reply · 1

Show 1 more reply

GW **Guy W**
2d ago
Why are there no public briefings being given by the Director of National Intelligence? Her absence may indicate she is on he way out.
Reply · 1

CW **C Wung**
1d ago
She had one. You missed it. She was not absent. You were.
Reply · 1
1 reply

DR **Dougas Reynolds**
2d ago
There's no doubt in any objective mind that Iran's nuclear development capabilities have been severely compromised and in some aspects totally destroyed. I keep wondering, however, how/Why that large rectangular building on the top of the hill at Fordo has been totally unscathed. Is it that unimportant and, if so, how do we know? If there's any question, why hasn't it received a cruise missile or two?
Reply

CM **Chris McQuattie**
2d ago
This sounds like more than "a few months" of a setback...
Reply · 2

Show More Comments

OpenWeb Terms | Privacy | Feedback

Videos

Copyright ©2025 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.